Limit theorems for stationary distributions of birth-and-death Markov chains

Y.M. Kaniovski and G.Ch.Pflug

9 September 2011

Consider a discrete-time Markov chain ζ_N^t , $t \ge 1$, assuming the N values $0, \frac{1}{N}, \ldots, 1 - \frac{1}{N}$. Let

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}\{\zeta_N^{t+1} &= \frac{i+1}{N} \mid \zeta_N^t = \frac{i}{N+1}\} = p_i^{(N)},\\ \mathbf{P}\{\zeta_N^{t+1} &= \frac{i-1}{N} \mid \zeta_N^t = \frac{i}{N+1}\} = q_i^{(N)}, \end{split}$$

with $q_i^{(N)} = 1 - p_i^{(N)}$. Set D_N for the stationary distribution of the chain, assuming that it exists.

Define

$$\Phi_N(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } 0 \le x < \frac{1}{N}, \\ -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^i \ln \frac{p_{j-1}^{(N)}}{q_j^{(N)}} \text{ for } \frac{i}{N} \le x < \frac{i+1}{N}, \ 1 \le i \le N-2, \\ -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \ln \frac{p_{j-1}^{(N)}}{q_j^{(N)}} \text{ for } 1 - \frac{1}{N} \le x \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Assume that there is a continuous in [0,1] function $\Phi(x)$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |\Phi_N(x) - \Phi(x)| = o(\frac{1}{N}).$$

Set $\Phi^* = \min_{x \in [0,1]} \Phi(x)$ and $X^* = \{x \in [0,1] : \Phi(x) = \Phi^*\}$. The set X^* is supposed to have a <u>finite</u> number of connected components. Then all weak limit points of D_N belong to X^* as $N \to \infty$.

To characterize the limits, assume that

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi^* + \psi_i(x - a_i)$$
 in a neighborhood of a_i

and

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi^* + \Psi_i(\min[x - b_i, \max(0, x - c_j)]) \quad \text{in a neighborhood of } [b_j, c_j].$$

Here $a_i \in X^*$ and $[b_j, c_j] \in X^*$. $\psi_i(0) = \Psi_j(0) = 0$, these growth functions decrease for negative values of the argument and they increase for positive values of the argument.

Let all *I* components of X^* be sigleton and let for every *i* there be pairs $\gamma_i^+ \ge 2$, α_i^+ and $\gamma_i^- \ge 2$, α_i^- such that

$$\psi_i(u) \approx \alpha_i^+ u^{\gamma_i^+} \text{for } u > 0 \text{ and } \psi_i(u) \approx \alpha_i^- (-u)^{\gamma_i^-} \text{for } u < 0.$$

Then the limit distribution of D_N concentrates on the components with the largest $\gamma(i) = \max(\gamma_i^+, \gamma_i^-)$. If $\gamma(s) = \max_{i \in I} \gamma(i)$, then the probability assigned to a_s is proportional to

$$\frac{\Gamma(1/\gamma(s))}{\gamma(s)\alpha(s)^{1/\gamma(s)}}.$$

Here

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-x) x^{z-1} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(s) = \begin{cases} \alpha_s^+ \text{ for } \gamma_s^+ > \gamma_s^-, \\ \alpha_s^- \text{ for } \gamma_s^- > \gamma_s^+. \end{cases}$$

When every $\gamma(i)$ equals to 2, the probability assigned to a_s becomes

$$\frac{1/\sqrt{\alpha_s^+} + 1/\sqrt{\alpha_s^-}}{\sum_{i=1}^{I}(1/\sqrt{\alpha_i^+} + 1/\sqrt{\alpha_i^-})}$$

If there are intervals along with singletons among the connected components of X^* , then the limit of D_N concentrates <u>only</u> of the intervals. The corresponding distribution has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure. The study was motivated by the following approach widely used in sociology, economics and population biology.

Set
$$\Delta \zeta_N^t = \zeta_N^{t+1} - \zeta_N^t$$
, then

$$\mathbf{E}(\Delta \zeta_N^t \mid \zeta_N^t = \frac{i}{N}) = \frac{1}{N}(p_i^{(N)} - q_i^{(N)}) \text{ and } \mathbf{E}[(\Delta \zeta_N^t)^2 \mid \zeta_N^t = \frac{i}{N}] = \frac{1}{N^2}(p_i^{(N)} + q_i^{(N)}).$$

When $p_i^N \approx f(\frac{i}{N})$ for some continuous function f (then $q_i^N \approx 1 - f(\frac{i}{N})$), it is intuitive to try to relate the limit properties of the process in hands with asymptotic behavior of the following ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 2f(x) - 1.$$

The equilibria of the ODE obtain from the equation 2f(x) = 1. The Gibbs potential $\Phi(x)$ in this case reads

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \ln \frac{f(z)}{1 - f(z)} dz$$

and its <u>global</u> minimum points satisfy the equation $\ln \frac{f(x)}{1-f(x)} = 0$ or, again, 2f(x) = 1. This is a <u>subset</u> of the set of locally asymptotically stable equilibria. In other words, the intuition is misleading in this case.