

# Strong Results on Weak Derivatives

Bernd Heidergott

Department of Econometrics and Operations Research  
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Anniversary Workshop on the occasion of Prof. Georg Pflug's 60th birthday  
September 9, 2011

# Outline of the Talk

---

1987, the Beginning

Weak Derivatives in a Nutshell

The Years 1990 to 1996

The Years 1996 to 2011

# 1987, the Beginning

Definition 1. A function  $x \rightarrow \mu_x$ , mapping an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  into  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is called weakly differentiable at the point  $x$ , if there is a  $k$ -vektor of signed finite measures  $\dot{\mu}_x := (\dot{\mu}_x^{(1)}, \dots, \dot{\mu}_x^{(k)})$ ;  $\dot{\mu}_x^{(i)} \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$|\langle g, \mu_{x+h} \rangle - \langle g, \mu_x \rangle - \sum_i h_i \langle g, \dot{\mu}_x^{(i)} \rangle| = o(\|h\|) \quad (2)$$

for all  $g \in C(S)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . Here  $o(\cdot)$  may depend on  $g$ .

The derivative  $\dot{\mu}_x$  may be represented as

$$\dot{\mu}_x = \left[ c_1 (\dot{\mu}_x^{(1)} - \ddot{\mu}_x^{(1)}), c_2 (\dot{\mu}_x^{(2)} - \ddot{\mu}_x^{(2)}), \dots, c_k (\dot{\mu}_x^{(k)} - \ddot{\mu}_x^{(k)}) \right]$$

where  $\dot{\mu}_x^{(i)}, \ddot{\mu}_x^{(i)} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ . We do not require that  $\dot{\mu}_x^{(i)}$  and  $\ddot{\mu}_x^{(i)}$  are orthogonal of each other, bearing however in mind that  $c_i$  is minimized if  $\dot{\mu}_x^{(i)} \perp \ddot{\mu}_x^{(i)}$ .

Note that  $\langle g, \dot{\mu}_x^{(i)} \rangle = 0$  for the constant function  $g \equiv 1$ , since  $\langle g, \mu_x \rangle \equiv 1$ .

- 1) If  $x \rightarrow \mu_x$  and  $x \rightarrow \nu_x$  is differentiable, with derivative  $(c, \dot{\mu}_x, \ddot{\mu}_x)$  resp.  $(d, \dot{\nu}_x, \ddot{\nu}_x)$ , then  $x \rightarrow \alpha\mu_x + (1-\alpha)\nu_x$  is differentiable with derivative

$$\left[ \alpha c + (1-\alpha)d, \frac{\alpha c \dot{\mu}_x + (1-\alpha)d \dot{\nu}_x}{\alpha c + (1-\alpha)d}, \frac{\alpha c \ddot{\mu}_x + (1-\alpha)d \ddot{\nu}_x}{\alpha c + (1-\alpha)d} \right]$$

(Note that  $\alpha \dot{\mu}_x + (1-\alpha)\dot{\nu}_x$  is in general not orthogonal to  $\alpha \ddot{\mu}_x + (1-\alpha)\ddot{\nu}_x$ ).

- 2) Under the same assumptions  $x \rightarrow \mu_x * \nu_x$  (convolution) is differentiable with derivative

$$(c+d, \frac{c}{c+d} \dot{\mu}_x * \nu_x + \frac{d}{c+d} \mu_x * \dot{\nu}_x, \frac{c}{c+d} \ddot{\mu}_x * \nu_x + \frac{d}{c+d} \mu_x * \ddot{\nu}_x)$$

- 3) If  $T$  is a measurable transformation which maps  $\mu_x$  onto  $\mu_x^T$  i.e.

$$\mu_x^T(A) := \mu_x(T^{-1}(A))$$

then  $x \rightarrow \mu_x^T$  is differentiable with derivative  $(c, \dot{\mu}_x^T, \ddot{\mu}_x^T)$

# Weak Derivatives in a Nutshell

Let  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space and let  $(\mu_\theta : \theta \in \Theta)$  be a family of measures on  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ , where  $\Theta = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be some set of measurable real-valued mappings defined on  $S$ .

## Definition

We call  $\mu'_\theta$  the  $\mathcal{D}$ -derivative of  $\mu_\theta$  if

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{D} : \frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(s) \mu_\theta(ds) = \int g(s) \mu'_\theta(ds).$$

If we take  $\mathcal{D} = C_b$ , the set of bounded continuous mappings, then the above definition recovers Georg's early definition from 1987.

Let  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space and let  $(\mu_\theta : \theta \in \Theta)$  be a family of measures on  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ , where  $\Theta = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be some set of measurable real-valued mappings defined on  $S$ .

## Definition

We call  $\mu'_\theta$  the  $\mathcal{D}$ -derivative of  $\mu_\theta$  if

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{D} : \frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(s) \mu_\theta(ds) = \int g(s) \mu'_\theta(ds).$$

If we take  $\mathcal{D} = C_b$ , the set of bounded continuous mappings, then the above definition recovers Georg's early definition from 1987.



Let  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space and let  $(\mu_\theta : \theta \in \Theta)$  be a family of measures on  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ , where  $\Theta = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be some set of measurable real-valued mappings defined on  $S$ .

## Definition

We call  $\mu'_\theta$  the  $\mathcal{D}$ -derivative of  $\mu_\theta$  if

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{D} : \frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(s) \mu_\theta(ds) = \int g(s) \mu'_\theta(ds).$$

If we take  $\mathcal{D} = C_b$ , the set of bounded continuous mappings, then the above definition recovers Georg's early definition from 1987.

# The Formal Set-Up

---

Let  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space and let  $(\mu_\theta : \theta \in \Theta)$  be a family of measures on  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ , where  $\Theta = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be some set of measurable real-valued mappings defined on  $S$ .

## Definition

We call  $\mu'_\theta$  the  $\mathcal{D}$ -derivative of  $\mu_\theta$  if

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{D} : \frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(s) \mu_\theta(ds) = \int g(s) \mu'_\theta(ds).$$

If we take  $\mathcal{D} = C_b$ , the set of bounded continuous mappings, then the above definition recovers Georg's early definition from 1987.

# The Triple Representation

---

Let  $\mu_\theta$  be a  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiable probability measure, with  $C_b \subset \mathcal{D}$ , then probability measures  $\mu_\theta^+$  and  $\mu_\theta^-$  and a finite constant  $c_\theta$  exist such that for all  $g \in \mathcal{D}$ :

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(s) \mu_\theta(ds) = c_\theta \left( \int g(s) \mu_\theta^+(ds) - \int g(s) \mu_\theta^-(ds) \right).$$

- ▶ Derivatives can be estimated/computed by means of differences of stochastic experiments.
- ▶ This concept has been successfully applied for stochastic optimization in operations research.

Let  $\mu_\theta$  be a  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiable probability measure, with  $C_b \subset \mathcal{D}$ , then probability measures  $\mu_\theta^+$  and  $\mu_\theta^-$  and a finite constant  $c_\theta$  exist such that for all  $g \in \mathcal{D}$ :

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(s) \mu_\theta(ds) = c_\theta \left( \int g(s) \mu_\theta^+(ds) - \int g(s) \mu_\theta^-(ds) \right).$$

- ▶ Derivatives can be estimated/computed by means of differences of stochastic experiments.
- ▶ This concept has been successfully applied for stochastic optimization in operations research.

Let  $\mu_\theta$  be a  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiable probability measure, with  $C_b \subset \mathcal{D}$ , then probability measures  $\mu_\theta^+$  and  $\mu_\theta^-$  and a finite constant  $c_\theta$  exist such that for all  $g \in \mathcal{D}$ :

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(s) \mu_\theta(ds) = c_\theta \left( \int g(s) \mu_\theta^+(ds) - \int g(s) \mu_\theta^-(ds) \right).$$

- ▶ Derivatives can be estimated/computed by means of differences of stochastic experiments.
- ▶ This concept has been successfully applied for stochastic optimization in operations research.

# Example, I

First, let's have a look at a probability measure  $\mu_\theta$  that has a nice density.

Let  $\mu_\theta$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue density  $f_\theta$ .

If  $f_\theta(x)$  is differentiable with respect to  $\theta$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(x) \mu_\theta(dx) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(x) f_\theta(x) dx = \int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx,$$

provided interchanging differentiation and integration is allowed for  $g$ .  
Now separating the positive and negative part of  $f'_\theta$  yields

$$\int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx = \int g(x) \max(f'_\theta(x), 0) dx - \int g(x) \max(-f'_\theta(x), 0) dx$$

# Example, I

First, let's have a look at a probability measure  $\mu_\theta$  that has a nice density.

Let  $\mu_\theta$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue density  $f_\theta$ .

If  $f_\theta(x)$  is differentiable with respect to  $\theta$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(x) \mu_\theta(dx) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(x) f_\theta(x) dx = \int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx,$$

provided interchanging differentiation and integration is allowed for  $g$ .  
Now separating the positive and negative part of  $f'_\theta$  yields

$$\int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx = \int g(x) \max(f'_\theta(x), 0) dx - \int g(x) \max(-f'_\theta(x), 0) dx$$

# Example, I

First, let's have a look at a probability measure  $\mu_\theta$  that has a nice density.

Let  $\mu_\theta$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue density  $f_\theta$ .

If  $f_\theta(x)$  is differentiable with respect to  $\theta$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(x) \mu_\theta(dx) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(x) f_\theta(x) dx = \int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx,$$

provided interchanging differentiation and integration is allowed for  $g$ .

Now separating the positive and negative part of  $f'_\theta$  yields

$$\int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx = \int g(x) \max(f'_\theta(x), 0) dx - \int g(x) \max(-f'_\theta(x), 0) dx$$



# Example, I

First, let's have a look at a probability measure  $\mu_\theta$  that has a nice density.

Let  $\mu_\theta$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue density  $f_\theta$ .

If  $f_\theta(x)$  is differentiable with respect to  $\theta$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(x) \mu_\theta(dx) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int g(x) f_\theta(x) dx = \int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx,$$

provided interchanging differentiation and integration is allowed for  $g$ .  
Now separating the positive and negative part of  $f'_\theta$  yields

$$\int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx = \int g(x) \max(f'_\theta(x), 0) dx - \int g(x) \max(-f'_\theta(x), 0) dx$$

## Example, II

In a concrete situation easier to use representations can be found.

Let  $f_\theta$  be the density of the exponential distribution with rate  $\theta$  and let  $h_\theta$  be the density of the Erlang-2-distribution with parameter  $\theta$ , then

$$\int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int g(x) f_\theta(x) dx - \int g(x) h_\theta(x) dx \right)$$

for all  $g(x)$  bounded by a polynomial in  $x$  (which is a choice for  $\mathcal{D}$ ).

In shorthand notation:

$$\text{Exponential}(\theta)' = \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{Exponential}(\theta), \text{Erlang}(2, \theta) \right).$$

So, one part of the derivative is already given by the nominal experiment.

## Example, II

In a concrete situation easier to use representations can be found.

Let  $f_\theta$  be the density of the exponential distribution with rate  $\theta$  and let  $h_\theta$  be the density of the Erlang-2-distribution with parameter  $\theta$ , then

$$\int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int g(x) f_\theta(x) dx - \int g(x) h_\theta(x) dx \right)$$

for all  $g(x)$  bounded by a polynomial in  $x$  (which is a choice for  $\mathcal{D}$ ).

In shorthand notation:

$$\text{Exponential}(\theta)' = \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{Exponential}(\theta), \text{Erlang}(2, \theta) \right).$$

So, one part of the derivative is already given by the nominal experiment.

## Example, II

In a concrete situation easier to use representations can be found.

Let  $f_\theta$  be the density of the exponential distribution with rate  $\theta$  and let  $h_\theta$  be the density of the Erlang-2-distribution with parameter  $\theta$ , then

$$\int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int g(x) f_\theta(x) dx - \int g(x) h_\theta(x) dx \right)$$

for all  $g(x)$  bounded by a polynomial in  $x$  (which is a choice for  $\mathcal{D}$ ).

In shorthand notation:

$$\text{Exponential}(\theta)' = \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{Exponential}(\theta), \text{Erlang}(2, \theta) \right).$$

So, one part of the derivative is already given by the nominal experiment.

## Example, II

In a concrete situation easier to use representations can be found.

Let  $f_\theta$  be the density of the exponential distribution with rate  $\theta$  and let  $h_\theta$  be the density of the Erlang-2-distribution with parameter  $\theta$ , then

$$\int g(x) f'_\theta(x) dx = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int g(x) f_\theta(x) dx - \int g(x) h_\theta(x) dx \right)$$

for all  $g(x)$  bounded by a polynomial in  $x$  (which is a choice for  $\mathcal{D}$ ).

In shorthand notation:

$$\text{Exponential}(\theta)' = \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{Exponential}(\theta), \text{Erlang}(2, \theta) \right).$$

So, one part of the derivative is already given by the nominal experiment.

## Example, III

---

Let  $\mu_\theta$  denote the uniform distribution on  $[0, \theta]$ .

Then it holds for all  $g \in C_b$  that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) dx \right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int_0^\theta g(x) \delta_\theta(dx) - \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) dx \right),$$

with  $\delta_\theta(\cdot)$  denoting the Dirac measure in  $\theta$ . In shorthand notation:

$$\text{Uniform}(\theta)' = \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{Dirac}(\theta), \text{Uniform}(\theta) \right).$$

So, again one part of the derivative is already given by the nominal experiment.

## Example, III

Let  $\mu_\theta$  denote the uniform distribution on  $[0, \theta]$ .

Then it holds for all  $g \in C_b$  that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) dx \right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int_0^\theta g(x) \delta_\theta(dx) - \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) dx \right),$$

with  $\delta_\theta(\cdot)$  denoting the Dirac measure in  $\theta$ . In shorthand notation:

$$\text{Uniform}(\theta)' = \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{Dirac}(\theta), \text{Uniform}(\theta) \right).$$

So, again one part of the derivative is already given by the nominal experiment.

## Example, III

Let  $\mu_\theta$  denote the uniform distribution on  $[0, \theta]$ .

Then it holds for all  $g \in C_b$  that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) dx \right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int_0^\theta g(x) \delta_\theta(dx) - \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) dx \right),$$

with  $\delta_\theta(\cdot)$  denoting the Dirac measure in  $\theta$ . In shorthand notation:

$$\text{Uniform}(\theta)' = \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{Dirac}(\theta), \text{Uniform}(\theta) \right).$$

So, again one part of the derivative is already given by the nominal experiment.



## Example, III

Let  $\mu_\theta$  denote the uniform distribution on  $[0, \theta]$ .

Then it holds for all  $g \in C_b$  that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) dx \right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \int_0^\theta g(x) \delta_\theta(dx) - \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) dx \right),$$

with  $\delta_\theta(\cdot)$  denoting the Dirac measure in  $\theta$ . In shorthand notation:

$$\text{Uniform}(\theta)' = \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{Dirac}(\theta), \text{Uniform}(\theta) \right).$$

So, again one part of the derivative is already given by the nominal experiment.

- ▶ What is the added value of weak differentiability?
- ▶ What is the best choice of  $\mathcal{D}$ ?

Let's go back to 1987. Did Georg address these questions?

Not really, instead he worked on something bigger: the extension to Markov chains.

- ▶ What is the added value of weak differentiability?
- ▶ What is the best choice of  $\mathcal{D}$ ?

Let's go back to 1987. Did Georg address these questions?

Not really, instead he worked on something bigger: the extension to Markov chains.

- ▶ What is the added value of weak differentiability?
- ▶ What is the best choice of  $\mathcal{D}$ ?

Let's go back to 1987. Did Georg address these questions?

Not really, instead he worked on something bigger: the extension to Markov chains.

- ▶ What is the added value of weak differentiability?
- ▶ What is the best choice of  $\mathcal{D}$ ?

Let's go back to 1987. Did Georg address these questions?

Not really, instead he worked on something bigger: the extension to Markov chains.

- ▶ What is the added value of weak differentiability?
- ▶ What is the best choice of  $\mathcal{D}$ ?

Let's go back to 1987. Did Georg address these questions?

Not really, instead he worked on something bigger: the extension to Markov chains.

## The Years 1990 to 1996

Georg gave a lecture at the XV. Symposium on Operations Research (1990, Vienna) on his breakthrough result for Markov chains:

$$\pi'_\theta = \pi_\theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P'_\theta P_\theta^n.$$

Published as: On-Line Optimization of Simulated Markovian Processes  
Mathematics of Operations Research, 1990, pp. 381-395

This was the first time that I heard of weak derivatives and my interest in the topic brought me to the event on the next slide...



Georg gave a lecture at the XV. Symposium on Operations Research (1990, Vienna) on his breakthrough result for Markov chains:

$$\pi'_\theta = \pi_\theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P'_\theta P_\theta^n.$$

Published as: On-Line Optimization of Simulated Markovian Processes  
Mathematics of Operations Research, 1990, pp. 381-395

This was the first time that I heard of weak derivatives and my interest in the topic brought me to the event on the next slide...

Georg gave a lecture at the XV. Symposium on Operations Research (1990, Vienna) on his breakthrough result for Markov chains:

$$\pi'_\theta = \pi_\theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P'_\theta P_\theta^n.$$

Published as: On-Line Optimization of Simulated Markovian Processes  
Mathematics of Operations Research, 1990, pp. 381-395

This was the first time that I heard of weak derivatives and my interest in the topic brought me to the event on the next slide...

# 1992: The Raach Workshop

---

**3.11 Definition.** A function  $x \mapsto \mu_x$ , mapping an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into  $\mathcal{P}$  is called *weakly differentiable* at the point  $x$ , if there is a  $d$ -vector of signed finite measures  $\mu'_x := (\mu'_{x,1}, \dots, \mu'_{x,d})$ ;  $\mu'_{x,i} \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\|s\|^{-1} \cdot \left| \langle H, \mu_{x+s} \rangle - \langle H, \mu_x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^d s_i \cdot \langle H, \mu'_{x,i} \rangle \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.8)$$

as  $s \rightarrow 0$  for all  $H \in C_b(R)$ , where  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . As in (??), the derivative  $\mu'_x$  may be represented as

$$\mu'_x = (c_{x,1} (\dot{\mu}_{x,1} - \ddot{\mu}_{x,1}), c_{x,2} (\dot{\mu}_{x,2} - \ddot{\mu}_{x,2}), \dots, c_{x,d} (\dot{\mu}_{x,d} - \ddot{\mu}_{x,d})) \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\dot{\mu}_{x,i}, \ddot{\mu}_{x,i} \in \mathcal{P}$ . We do not require that  $\dot{\mu}_{x,i}$  and  $\ddot{\mu}_{x,i}$  are orthogonal to each other, bearing however in mind that  $c_{x,i}$  is minimized if  $\dot{\mu}_{x,i} \perp \ddot{\mu}_{x,i}$ . Note that  $\langle \mathbf{1}, \dot{\mu}_{x,i} \rangle = 0$  for the constant function  $\mathbf{1}(w) \equiv 1$ , since  $\langle \mathbf{1}, \mu_x \rangle \equiv 1$ .

We write  $\mu'_x = (c_x, \dot{\mu}_x, \ddot{\mu}_x)$  to denote the situation that

$$c_x = (c_{x,1}, \dots, c_{x,d}), \dot{\mu}_x = (\dot{\mu}_{x,1}, \dots, \dot{\mu}_{x,d}), \ddot{\mu}_x = (\ddot{\mu}_{x,1}, \dots, \ddot{\mu}_{x,d})$$

is the derivative of  $x \mapsto \mu_x$  at  $x$  in the sense of Definition ??.

Source: Optimization of Simulated Discrete-Event-Processes,  
Seminar Notes.

# 1996: The Opus Magnum

---

# 1996: The Opus Magnum

---



Georg Pflug: Optimization of Stochastic Models. The Interface between Simulation and Optimization. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1996.

# The Years 1996 to 2011

- ▶ The theory for weak differentiation for Markov chains has been further developed (mainly by others).
- ▶ Efficient algorithms, on-line implementations, and even weak Taylor series extensions of Markov chains have been developed.
- ▶ A sideline of this research has led to numerical algorithms for approximative computation of Markov chains.



- ▶ The theory for weak differentiation for Markov chains has been further developed (mainly by others).
- ▶ Efficient algorithms, on-line implementations, and even weak Taylor series extensions of Markov chains have been developed.
- ▶ A sideline of this research has led to numerical algorithms for approximative computation of Markov chains.

- ▶ The theory for weak differentiation for Markov chains has been further developed (mainly by others).
- ▶ Efficient algorithms, on-line implementations, and even weak Taylor series extensions of Markov chains have been developed.
- ▶ A sideline of this research has led to numerical algorithms for approximative computation of Markov chains.

- ▶ The theory for weak differentiation for Markov chains has been further developed (mainly by others).
- ▶ Efficient algorithms, on-line implementations, and even weak Taylor series extensions of Markov chains have been developed.
- ▶ A sideline of this research has led to numerical algorithms for approximative computation of Markov chains.

# ...and the Product Rule?

---

Here is what the book states on the product rule of weak differentiation:

**3.28 Remark.** The weak derivative obeys the following rules:

1. Convex combinations. If  $x \mapsto \mu_x$  and  $x \mapsto \nu_x$  are weakly differentiable with derivative  $(c_x, \dot{\mu}_x, \ddot{\mu}_x)$  resp.  $(d_x, \dot{\nu}_x, \ddot{\nu}_x)$ , then  $x \mapsto \alpha\mu_x + (1-\alpha)\nu_x$  is weakly differentiable with derivative

$$\left( \alpha c_x + (1-\alpha)d_x, \frac{\alpha c_x \dot{\mu}_x + (1-\alpha)d_x \dot{\nu}_x}{\alpha c_x + (1-\alpha)d_x}, \frac{\alpha c_x \ddot{\mu}_x + (1-\alpha)d_x \ddot{\nu}_x}{\alpha c_x + (1-\alpha)d_x} \right).$$

(Notice that  $\alpha\dot{\mu}_x + (1-\alpha)\dot{\nu}_x$  is in general not orthogonal to  $\alpha\ddot{\mu}_x + (1-\alpha)\ddot{\nu}_x$  even if  $\dot{\mu}_x \perp \ddot{\mu}_x$  and  $\dot{\nu}_x \perp \ddot{\nu}_x$ ).

2. Convolutions. Under the same assumptions  $x \mapsto \mu_x * \nu_x$  (the convolution) is weakly differentiable with derivative

$$(c_x + d_x, \alpha_x \dot{\mu}_x * \nu_x + \beta_x \mu_x * \dot{\nu}_x, \alpha_x \ddot{\mu}_x * \nu_x + \beta_x \mu_x * \ddot{\nu}_x),$$

where  $\alpha_x = \frac{c_x}{c_x + d_x}$  and  $\beta_x = \frac{d_x}{c_x + d_x}$ .

3. Transformations. Let  $S$  be a continuous mapping  $S : R \rightarrow R'$ , where  $R'$  is some metric space and let  $\mu^S$  denote the image measure

$$\mu_x^S(A) := \mu_x(S^{-1}(A)),$$

If  $x \mapsto \mu_x$  is weakly differentiable, then  $x \mapsto \mu_x^S$  is also weakly differentiable with derivative  $(c_x, \dot{\mu}_x^S, \ddot{\mu}_x^S)$ .

Let  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  be two measures on some measurable space  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_v$  denote the weighted supremum norm with  $v$  a mapping that is absolutely integrable with respect to  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$ , for  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Product spaces are equipped with the product norm.

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of measurable mappings from  $S$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If

- ▶  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiable,
- ▶  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then

$$(\mu_\theta \times \nu_\theta)' = \mu'_\theta \times \nu_\theta + \mu_\theta \times \nu'_\theta.$$

Remark 1: Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of continuous mappings and  $v \equiv 1$ , then the product rule for  $C_b$ -differentiability follows from the above result.

Remark 2: If  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiability of  $\mu_\theta$  implies  $\|\cdot\|_v$ -Lipschitz continuity of  $\mu_\theta$ .

Let  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  be two measures on some measurable space  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_v$  denote the weighted supremum norm with  $v$  a mapping that is absolutely integrable with respect to  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$ , for  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Product spaces are equipped with the product norm.

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of measurable mappings from  $S$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If

- ▶  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiable,
- ▶  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then

$$(\mu_\theta \times \nu_\theta)' = \mu'_\theta \times \nu_\theta + \mu_\theta \times \nu'_\theta.$$

Remark 1: Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of continuous mappings and  $v \equiv 1$ , then the product rule for  $C_b$ -differentiability follows from the above result.

Remark 2: If  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiability of  $\mu_\theta$  implies  $\|\cdot\|_v$ -Lipschitz continuity of  $\mu_\theta$ .

Let  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  be two measures on some measurable space  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_v$  denote the weighted supremum norm with  $v$  a mapping that is absolutely integrable with respect to  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$ , for  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Product spaces are equipped with the product norm.

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of measurable mappings from  $S$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If

- ▶  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiable,
- ▶  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then

$$(\mu_\theta \times \nu_\theta)' = \mu'_\theta \times \nu_\theta + \mu_\theta \times \nu'_\theta.$$

Remark 1: Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of continuous mappings and  $v \equiv 1$ , then the product rule for  $C_b$ -differentiability follows from the above result.

Remark 2: If  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiability of  $\mu_\theta$  implies  $\|\cdot\|_v$ -Lipschitz continuity of  $\mu_\theta$ .



Let  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  be two measures on some measurable space  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_v$  denote the weighted supremum norm with  $v$  a mapping that is absolutely integrable with respect to  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$ , for  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Product spaces are equipped with the product norm.

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of measurable mappings from  $S$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If

- ▶  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiable,
- ▶  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then

$$(\mu_\theta \times \nu_\theta)' = \mu'_\theta \times \nu_\theta + \mu_\theta \times \nu'_\theta.$$

Remark 1: Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of continuous mappings and  $v \equiv 1$ , then the product rule for  $C_b$ -differentiability follows from the above result.

Remark 2: If  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiability of  $\mu_\theta$  implies  $\|\cdot\|_v$ -Lipschitz continuity of  $\mu_\theta$ .

Let  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  be two measures on some measurable space  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_v$  denote the weighted supremum norm with  $v$  a mapping that is absolutely integrable with respect to  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$ , for  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Product spaces are equipped with the product norm.

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of measurable mappings from  $S$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If

- ▶  $\mu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\theta$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiable,
- ▶  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then

$$(\mu_\theta \times \nu_\theta)' = \mu'_\theta \times \nu_\theta + \mu_\theta \times \nu'_\theta.$$

Remark 1: Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of continuous mappings and  $v \equiv 1$ , then the product rule for  $C_b$ -differentiability follows from the above result.

Remark 2: If  $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_v)$  is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{D}$ -differentiability of  $\mu_\theta$  implies  $\|\cdot\|_v$ -Lipschitz continuity of  $\mu_\theta$ .

- ▶ Applications to the generator of continuous time Markov chains
- ▶ Applications to inhomogeneous Markov chains
- ▶ Further development of numerical approximations by means of Taylor polynomials

- ▶ Applications to the generator of continuous time Markov chains
- ▶ Applications to inhomogeneous Markov chains
- ▶ Further development of numerical approximations by means of Taylor polynomials

- ▶ Applications to the generator of continuous time Markov chains
- ▶ Applications to inhomogeneous Markov chains
- ▶ Further development of numerical approximations by means of Taylor polynomials

- ▶ Applications to the generator of continuous time Markov chains
- ▶ Applications to inhomogeneous Markov chains
- ▶ Further development of numerical approximations by means of Taylor polynomials

Thank You!



Georg wordt 60 jaar,  
lang zal hij leven in de gloria!

**Van harte gefeliciteerd!**